
2

7

٦

6



33. (original) The system of Claim 6 further including:

a proxy server associated with said browser for

transmitting proxy condensed versions of Web HTML documents 3

to personal palm-type computers, and 4

means for overriding said proxy servers to thereby 5

permit the accessing by said palm-type computers of said 6

second set of natural language data conveying said second

version of condensed displayable data.

34. (original) The method of Claim 11 including the further 1 2 steps of:

normally providing a condensed version of Web HTML

documents to personal palm-type computers, and 4

overriding said proxy servers to thereby permit the 5

accessing by said palm-type computers of said second set of

natural language data conveying said second version of 7

condensed displayable data.

REMARKS

Claims 20, 22, and 24 have been amended to correct clerical errors which the Examiner has brought to the attention of Applicants. Accordingly, the Examiner's objections to these claim as informal has been satisfied.

Applicants thank Examiner for the telephone interview granted to their Attorney on February 11, 2004. In that interview. Applicants stressed the novelty of their invention over Kikinis (US6,076,109). Applicants pointed out that Kikinis failed to disclose or teach a combination with all of the elements of the present invention.

Accordingly, the rejection of claims 1-34 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kikinis is respectfully traversed. A rejection based on anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102, must expressly or impliedly teach every 14 Atis920000234US1

PATENT 09/589,666

element of invention without modification. The Examiner's application of the Kikinis patent clearly fails to meet this standard. Every claim in this Application requires the transmission of a Hypertex Markup Language (HTML) document containing two versions of the data content: a first version of the content which is displayable at standard display stations, and a condensed version of the same data content displayable on smaller palm-type displays.

While Kikinis recognizes the need to condense data content of HTML documents to fit smaller personal device displays, Kikinis does not provide the two versions in a single HTML document. Rather, in the Kikinis system, when the smaller hand-held device requests a copy of a particular HTML document, the requested document, instead of being routed through the conventional Web server (23, Fig. 4), is routed through a proxy server (19) wherein the document content is reduced or condensed so as to fit the confines of the hand-held display. Thus, the Kikinis HTML only contain one version which is subsequently condensed in the proxy server 23 when there is a request from a hand-held computer.

Claims 1-4, 5-8, 10-13, 15-18, 20, 22, and 24 include the further elements of a first and a second set of tags in each HTML document respectively identifying the two data set versions of the same content. Since the Kikinis HTML documents do not contain such two data versions, they contain nothing equivalent to such identifying tags.

Claims 25 through 32 contain the additional elements of having three or more versions of the same displayable data content in the HTML document along with corresponding numbers of identifying tags. This even further distinguishes over Kikinis which does not have any such multiple data content versions.

AUS920000234US1

PATENT 09/589,666

In view of the foregoing, claims 1-34 are submitted to be in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/J. B. Kraff / Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 19,226

(512) 473-2303

ALL CORRESPONDENCE SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO:

Jeffrey S. LaBaw
IPLaw Dept. - IMAD 4054
IBM Corporation
11400 Burnet Road
Austin, Texas 78758